UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,327	01/27/2006	Kenji Ogawa	MAT-8808US	3515
52473 RATNERPRES	7590 12/27/201 STIA		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			MANDEVILLE, JASON M	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,327	OGAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JASON M. MANDEVILLE	2629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f)						
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFB 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100				
a) ∑ The proposed amendment(s) med after a final rejection, t (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		L Bolow),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* ***	npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,5 and 9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	·						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Note Above.							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Alexander Eisen/	/J. M. M./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	Examiner, Art Unit 2629						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant has amended independent Claim 1 to recite "an abnormal charge erasing part which is provided after the latter half part and before the writing period." These newly added limitations have not previously been considered by the examiner and, as such, would require further consideration and possibly further search. The examiner would like to point out, however, that the entire structure of independent Claim 1 is recited in alternative form. That is, the "method of driving a plasma display panel" comprises "in the initializing periods of the plurality of sub-fields, performing one of all-cell initializing operation and selective initializing operation...." The limitation "performing one of" necessitates only one of the alternative driving operations claimed. The examiner is not obligated to identify all of the possible claimed solutions when the claim is written in alternative form. In any case, the newly added claim limitations have not previously been considered and would require further consideration and possibly further search.